

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,213	02/13/2004	Masahiro Shiratori	041514-5320	2482
75	590 12/14/2006		EXAM	INER
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC)			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/777,213	SHIRATORI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Allan Olsen	1763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag	<u>oril 2006</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	• •						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
ا اره	are subject to restriction and of	r clockon requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	·					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
·							
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	majority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 410(c)) (d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•					
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	· .						
Attachmen		A) 🗀	(DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claim7-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 14, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 3 and 4 have been amended to define a side of the mask relative to "the blocking portion on the periphery of the pass through aperture." The examiner could not find support for a blocking portion at the periphery of the pass through aperture. The specification refers to that portion of the mask at the periphery of the pass through aperture as the protruding portion

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,224,264 issued to Takahashi et al.

See figure 1c and column 3, line 45-51.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,249,520 issued to Andris et al.

See figure 4. Andris teaches using the structure of figure 4 in connection with a screening process.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin NN75012226.

See mask of figure 2, which is made of molybdenum.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the structures of the applied references are used for purposes other than that of the instantly claimed mask. However, The examiner notes that applicants arguments did not challenge the fact that the structures of the applied references satisfy the structural limitations of the claims. The masks of the applied references and the instant masks are all used in the field of semiconductor

Art Unit: 1763

device fabrication and different intended uses for two otherwise similar products is not a basis for patentable distinction.¹

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

¹ In re Tuominen 213 USPQ 89 (CCPA 1982)

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W and F: 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allan Olsen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1763